

Holland Views: Walt Disney Co. - Price: \$92; MCap: \$148bn

## **Content King**

As the saying goes; 'you can have good news or cheap stocks, but not both". So as we unveil some new Holland research on a well-known global Franchise that looks cheap – be prepared for the negative slant on things by Mr Market. Today, we discuss one of the world's best-known brands<sup>1</sup> a business that generates c.\$55bn in revenues, has been compounding profits at c.13% since 2003, is capital-light, and enjoys great returns of c.35%. It has a super track record of monetising its unique portfolio of entertainment content. This is a media company that has, under Michael Iger, transformed itself into a brand company – and it all started with a mouse.

It is our contention that – after a phase of judicious investment under Iger, Disney's medium-term content pipeline is exceptional, as are its operational credentials – both almost as good as it was in Walt Disney's hey-day. We see the next phase for Disney as one where the focus is, inevitably, on distribution. Just as video cassettes transformed the way that Disney monetised its back catalogue, so too, the internet may allow it to move from a wholesale to a direct-to-consumer model, allowing a commensurate improvement in pricing. Could Disney turn out to be one of the few old-school companies to truly benefit from the internet?

"The great lesson in microeconomics is to discriminate between when technology is going to help you and when it's going to kill you." - Charlie Munger

2004

EV/EBIT

2007



1999 2000 2002

2001 2003 2005

Fig.1: Disney Valuation

Source: Capital IQ

Disney trades on 16x PE (last 12m), 10.5x EV/EBITA – its lowest level in four years (Fig.1). When divisions are looked at separately, given the growth and returns in Studios etc., one could say its core ESPN division is likely trading on closer to 10x PE! So let's get the bearish slant out of the way: Disney's critics contend that current group margins are at a cyclical peak, that the business is a forced serial acquirer and most notably that its cash-cow ESPN division is facing a double-whammy of structural demand decline due to cable TV 'cord-cutting' and rising sports content costs. Finally, the critics point out that Michael Iger – CEO par excellence – is departing in 2018 and so will leave a huge major managerial hole at the top. We have thought about all these arguments and will try to address each of them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Indeed, Disney is the highest ranking content brand (<a href="http://interbrand.com/best-brands/best-global-brands/2015/ranking/">http://interbrand.com/best-brands/best-global-brands/2015/ranking/</a>)

In short, we think the worries towards ESPN (the largest television sports network in the US) are overdone and we support this view via our work on Sky and WWE. Reminiscent of Sky plc, Disney investors seem to think – incorrectly in our view – that continual paying-up for sports rights monopolies will lead to margin dilution rather than growth and competitive positioning. We go further and suggest the internet might allow Disney to actually increase per-user ESPN prices when it moves away from its current wholesale distribution model (a move which may be gradual or sudden!). In that context, considering the growth, returns and culture, Disney's current group valuation (16x PE) then looks far more interesting for what is still one of the world's greatest brand businesses.

"There are actually businesses, that you will find a few times in a lifetime, where any manager could raise the return enormously just by raising prices—and yet they haven't done it. So they have huge untapped pricing power that they're not using. That is the ultimate no-brainer. ... Disney found that it could raise those prices a lot and the attendance stayed right up. So a lot of the great record of Eisner and Wells ... came from just raising prices at Disneyland and Disneyworld and through video cassette sales of classic animated movies" — Charlie Munger, 'A Lesson on Elementary, Worldly Wisdom As It Relates To Investment Management & Business', 1994

## Executive Summary – we think ESPN worries are overdone

Disney is a broad and diverse conglomerate so we had to pick our analytical fights with it, so to speak. ESPN is an obvious area to tackle. Our in-depth work on both WWE and Sky proved very useful in assessing ESPN and thinking-through how the ESPN business might evolve in an OTT ('Over The Top' i.e. direct to consumer via the internet) world. We outline a plausible scenario for ESPN later in the note. Suffice to say that ESPN's huge subscriber base of 90m million subscribers shows that its *wholesale* affiliate revenues per subscriber are incredibly low – only \$8 per month by our calculations. For context<sup>2</sup>, here in the UK, Sky plc is deriving c.£32 per subscriber per month just for Sky Sports (admittedly this is a retail price). In any shift to sell directly to the consumer via OTT, ESPN (by cutting out the middleman – i.e. the cable operator) has significant scope, we suggest, to raise unit prices sufficient to offset any meaningful fall in subscriber numbers.

It is thus important to remember that Disney is today, largely a wholesale business. Its content is predominantly sold via third-party channels such as movie theatres, cable networks and merchandisers. Of course, the internet is disrupting business models across the board – not least the cable TV companies who are feeling the effects of cord-cutting, Netflix et. al. <u>Our contention is that the internet can at least sustain, not dilute, Disney's ESPN as it inevitably embraces the internet to distribute its content and in the process cut-out the cable middlemen.</u>

As we highlighted above, way back in 1994, Munger highlighted Michael Eisner's record of embracing technology to raise prices at Disney – a point which is surely not lost on Eisner's protégé Iger. It is unconventional thinking to suggest that the same opportunity could present itself to Iger or his successor if Disney embraces a B2C model.

To view the remainder of this in-depth report, please contact Andrew Hollingworth, Andrew@hollandadvisors.co.uk for a complete PDF copy.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We are fully aware that ESPN is sold wholesale and Sky is sold direct-to-consumer, but the comparison is surely very relevant as it shows the scope of pricing upside were ESPN to shift to a direct sales model.

## Disclaimer

This document does not consist of investment research as it has not been prepared in accordance with UK legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research. Therefore even if it contains a research recommendation it should be treated as a marketing communication and as such will be fair, clear and not misleading in line with Financial Conduct Authority rules. Holland Advisors is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. This presentation is intended for institutional investors and high net worth experienced investors who understand the risks involved with the investment being promoted within this document. This communication should not be distributed to anyone other than the intended recipients and should not be relied upon by retail clients (as defined by Financial Conduct Authority). This communication is being supplied to you solely for your information and may not be reproduced, re-distributed or passed to any other person or published in whole or in part for any purpose. This communication is provided for information purposes only and should not be regarded as an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument. Any opinions cited in this communication are subject to change without notice. This communication is not a personal recommendation to you. Holland Advisors takes all reasonable care to ensure that the information is accurate and complete; however no warranty, representation, or undertaking is given that it is free from inaccuracies or omissions. This communication is based on and contains current public information, data, opinions, estimates and projections obtained from sources we believe to be reliable. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. The content of this communication may have been disclosed to the issuer(s) prior to dissemination in order to verify its factual accuracy. Investments in general involve some degree of risk therefore Prospective Investors should be aware that the value of any investment may rise and fall and you may get back less than you invested. Value and income may be adversely affected by exchange rates, interest rates and other factors. The investment discussed in this communication may not be eligible for sale in some states or countries and may not be suitable for all investors. If you are unsure about the suitability of this investment given your financial objectives, resources and risk appetite, please contact your financial advisor before taking any further action. This document is for informational purposes only and should not be regarded as an offer or solicitation to buy the securities or other instruments mentioned in it. Holland Advisors and/or its officers, directors and employees may have or take positions in securities or derivatives mentioned in this document (or in any related investment) and may from time to time dispose of any such securities (or instrument). Holland Advisors manage conflicts of interest in regard to this communication internally via their compliance procedures.